



IS THE WORLD A WORK OF FICTION?



**THE FIVE
BIGGEST MYTHS YOU
THOUGHT WERE TRUE™
AND ONE MORE THAT
CHANGES EVERYTHING**

Compiled, Edited and Written by Jim Gleeson



IS THE WORLD A WORK OF FICTION?



The 5 Biggest Myths You Thought Were True

Compiled, Edited and Written by Jim Gleeson

Copyright © 2014

Published by:
Novel About the Truth
Charlotte, North Carolina



IS THE WORLD A WORK OF FICTION?



**"...It is better to be divided by Truth than united in error;
It is better to tell Truth that hurts and then heals than to tell a lie;
It is better to be hated for telling the Truth than to be loved for telling a lie;
It is better to stand alone with Truth than to be wrong with the multitude..."**

Adrian Rogers

Don't accept my truth; find your own.

Jim Gleeson

"You shall know the TRUTH, and the TRUTH shall make you free"

Jesus--John 8:32

IS THE WORLD A WORK OF FICTION?



THE FIVE BIGGEST MYTHS YOU THOUGHT WERE TRUE™

AND HOW TO FIND YOUR TRUTH

Are you bewildered at the plethora of opinions, political correctness and the increasing polarization of humanity? My quest for the truth started in 2004 with a need to understand the Man-Made Global Warming “science” I was supporting in my efforts to promote a more sustainable world.

As an architect, I understood that buildings and their energy needs were responsible for more CO2 being generated than the whole transportation industry. But I needed to understand why the movement seemed to be getting so politicized. What I found out, changed my worldview and my life.

In my first book, *Judges Kings & Pirates*, I traced my research through a fictional group of citizens to an ever-increasing circle of misinformation propaganda and lies, from global warming to evolution to the “end times.”

In *No One Knows*, I sought to clarify the potentially horrific outcome from a culture unwilling to seek out and defend honesty and truth. *No One Knows* takes place in what could be a future Earth in which we allow the “powers that be” to continue to mold our reality to their agenda.

I have created this T5BMYTWT reference manual to help guide anyone who wishes to expand his or her understanding of our world using the amazing tool that is the internet, while it is still available to us, plus a large helping of basic common sense and real SCIENCE.

What I have found shows clearly that much of what passes for “accepted fact” is not. However, you will need to question it—question everything and decide for yourself as you take a closer look at the world around you. And as you do you will begin to see that you can know your own truth and rise above the lies of the world.

Jim Gleeson, SCIENCE GOD AND YOU



Where to Start; What to look for.

So if the world is full of MYTHS, what is true? And can we really KNOW it?

That's what we will work together to figure out! What's important is not the myths themselves but where they come from and why we give them credibility. What are the common denominators in the "wisdom" of the world?-- from the ancient greek philosophers to the Toltecs to religious teachers like Jesus or Buddha and even modern sages like Einstein or the Maharishi.

What and where is the connection between wisdom, which has survived thousands of years, and science. Religion and philosophy have been expelled from science since Galileo, but ultimately authentic science has to uncover the truth—and the connection between what the world really is and what we can prove it to be— or at least prove it NOT to be.



Mining the Myth for Common sense or lack of it.

Does the myth even make sense? Is it even internally consistent? When someone says that finding methane on another planet would be FINDING LIFE and then in another conversation says that an unborn HUMAN baby with a beating heart isn't "life" you know this person is capable of monumental rationalization.

The earth has been through multiple ice-ages and warm periods, right? What caused the earth's temperature to warm up after these cold periods? It obviously wasn't SUVs and power plants, was it? Now we have political activists telling us the CO2 that plants live on and we breathe out is pollution and that we need to pony-up big-time to save the world from Global Warming!

Many human beings have agendas and will go to great lengths to promote them—including demonizing any who refuse to accept the propaganda. Conspiracy Theorist! Tin-foil hat wearer! Wing-nut!

There *are* conspiracy theories out there that are pretty nutty. Some of them are even started by people to make all those intelligent enough to question main-stream "consensus" look bad. There are all kinds of "false flags."

**THE FIVE
BIGGEST MYTHS YOU
THOUGHT WERE TRUE**

IS THE WORLD A WORK OF FICTION?




THE IS LIKE YOUR COMPUTER...

You use it every day; it is your constant companion.



DO YOU HAVE ANY IDEA HOW IT REALLY WORKS?



THE TWO MOST MISGUIDED NOTIONS HELD IN AMERICA

1. *Our Government wouldn't really do that to us.*
2. *If they did, they would tell us about it on TV.*

The need to educate yourself has never been greater!

In this ebook we will show you

1. How to gain control of information
2. How to find the best answer to any question
3. How to GROW your understanding of the world and your place in it


LET'S GET TO WORK!



IS THE WORLD A WORK OF FICTION?



FIRST! TURN OFF THE TV



10 Tactics Used By The Mainstream Media To Manufacture Consent For The Oligarchy

The mainstream media is aging and collapsing under the weight of its own hubris and arrogance. Now entirely formulaic in presentation and predictable in substance, the 'major' outlets of news, which are monopolized under only a small handful of corporations, serve the purpose of misleading the public on important issues and manufacturing consent for government and the oligarchs.

The public is still largely numb to this reality, and in a wicked catch-22 for modern man, many people are still addicted to the very media that serves as the primary weapon of social control against them.

1. **Lying by Omission** – What is not on the nightly news?
2. **Controlling the Debate** – Who is arguing, and for what cause?
3. **Selecting the Right Anchors, Casters and Presenters** –
4. **Scripting and Synchronizing News** – One of the creepier and more blatant efforts to homogenize thought and manufacture consent is to script the news at high level, then distribute these scripts to many different locales and anchors to read verbatim, while they feign authenticity.
5. **Politicizing Everything** – Language is the greatest weapon of social control, and with mainstream media, powerfully debilitating language is pushed into every corner of our consciousness. Conservative vs. Liberal. Democrat vs. Republican. Right-winger vs. Left-winger. Good vs. Bad. Left vs. Right. Right vs. Wrong. White vs. Black.
6. **Using the Language of Separation and Labels** – We've all heard the label 'conspiracy theorist,' which is the most popular label used when an idea or story is unfavorable to the mainstream media and the interests that back them up. You are a 'conspiracy theorist' if you ask questions, assimilate facts in a logical manner, or pursue justice outside of the main flow of public discourse on a popular issue.
7. **Asking the Wrong Questions** – .
8. **Closing the Book Too Soon** –
9. **Triviality and Distraction** –
10. **Outright Lying** –.



See this link for full article at Worldtruth.tv:
<http://worldtruth.tv/11-tactics-used-by-the-mainstream-media-to-manufacture-consent-for-the-oligarchy/>

Conclusion

News is a commodity just like everything else these days, and although many still believe the point of news is to inform, it is important to accept the hard truth that the purpose of the news is really just to sell something, be it a product, an idea, a candidate, a public image, a war, or whatever. For this, the mainstream media is focused on first deciding which issues are to be discussed in the public forum, then by using a bagful of tricks to shape people's perceptions of an issue, the media divides us and pits us against each other while leading us into consent for an underlying and hidden agenda



IS THE WORLD A WORK OF FICTION?



Then use the INTERNET for RESEARCH Is it Junk or Real Information

You may be reading this because you are becoming aware that there seems to be a difference between what is reported and purported to be the truth and the actual facts or current or past events. It used to be that if this were the case, you would have to go to the library of congress or some other storehouse of information to try to sort it out. Today, as long as the internet is largely uncensored, anyone can find out almost anything if he or she knows how to look; and how to tell junk from real information. This may not last long!

Today's internet is a vast storehouse of documentaries, original documentation, investigation, opinion and reportage.

It is also a vast storehouse of misinformation, lies, deliberate falsification, plagiarism and utter garbage!



Telling the Difference

So how do you keep from finding stuff you “want to believe” and letting it strengthen your existing belief, instead of learning from reliable new information?

RULES:

- #1. Look for the truth—not support for your agenda. This is the only way to see if your beliefs are supported by reputable sources. Use your Common Sense! Question EVERYTHING
- #2 You will ALWAYS be better off with the truth than with support for a wrong idea!
- #3 Discount supporting sources which have EXACTLY the same information. They have exactly the same information because they copied it.
- #4 Find supporting sources which have *similar* information but have information not found in your other source. This indicates original investigation or research.
- #5 Balance your inquiry by finding sources which directly contradict your premise. Compare any factual and plausible arguments. Be honest. You are looking for the truth.
- #6 Always check the sources to verify quotations and attribution. Get to know your sources. Does this source have a history of presenting reasonable information?
- #7 Relax! You don't have to know exactly what you are looking for. Search engines look for keywords in order of preference. What words might be in the article that you would like to find? Many times articles try to answer your question in their title: “Did America's first lunar mission really land on the moon?”
- #8 If you suspect that something may be a lie or hoax, you first must find ONE UNDENIABLE FACT on which to base further investigation.

WHAT FOLLOWS IS DOCUMENTATION REPRESENTING HOW I REACHED MY OPINION!



MYTH

1. "THE WAR ON TERROR"

The War on Terror is inextricably linked to Sept. 11, 2001. Who benefitted? Who had access and means? None of the "terrorists" showed up on security cameras getting on the planes.

What is This a Picture Of? Most People Say:



"A Building Exploding!"

BECAUSE IT IS NOT A BUILDING COLLAPSING!

(per Official 911 Report)

WTC 1,2&7 Were Systematically Destroyed WTC7 collapsed and was not even Mentioned in the 9/11 Report.)

The World Trade Center, an Obsolete Complex Half Empty and Full of Asbestos, was Prepared for Destruction weeks before September 11.



THIS A PICTURE OF A 500,000 TON BUILDING BEING LITERALLY TURNED TO DUST!

Firemen trapped in a second floor stairwell reported looking up and seeing "blue sky" **after** 108 stories "collapsed" on top of them. Where was the hundred-story debris pile? The building had become dust.

The destruction (the murder of 2500 innocent people) was a Win-Win for those who just months before purchased the towers and for those wishing to hide the financial malfeasance and/or create a crisis enabling eventual vast profits for the military/industrial complex. The new owners of the WTC soon collected over \$4 billion in insurance payout.

If Muslim Jihadists Didn't Do 911, What IS the War On TERROR?

Official Story

<http://www.debunking911.com/pull.htm#reporter>
<http://www.structuremag.org/archives/2007/March/%202007/D-Spotlight-ComputerModeling-Mar07.pdf>

<http://www.elmerfudd.us/kooks911.htm>

<http://www.jod911.com>

Inside Job

<http://www.wheredidthetowersgo.com>
[http://www.takeourworldback.com/911/Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth](http://www.takeourworldback.com/911/Architects%20and%20Engineers%20for%20911%20Truth)
[Journal of 9/11 Studies](#)
[Medical Professionals for 9/11 Truth](#)
[Scholars for 9/11 Truth & Justice](#)
[Fire Fighters For 9-11 Truth](#)
[Pilots for 9/11 Truth](#)
[WhatReallyHappened 9/11 Basic Questions](#)



MYTH 1. "THE WAR ON TERROR"


How do two 110 story towers turn to dust?

Watch a 700 foot tall Aluminum Façade disintegrate!

<http://www.wheredidthetowersgo.com>

Should we ignore new 911 details?
Where are the planes?

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_detailpage&v=orUUIYDiHwY



The World was Told that the Buildings "Collapsed" and We Believed it!

Perception Management:
Tell them what they saw.



MYTH 1. "THE WAR ON TERROR"

If a Country Was at War with Terrorists
Sneaking Through Its Borders to Murder Civilians,
What is the FIRST Thing it Would Do?

ANS: CLOSE THE BORDERS! Not Open Them UP!




“Equally interesting, though, is what did not occur after the terrorist attacks. Given the experiences in comparable chapters of U.S. history (with special programs directed at German-Americans in World War I, Japanese-Americans in World War II, and "communist radicals" after that war), a much stronger backlash against immigrants and Muslims was predicted after 9/11. The most dire of the predictions, though, did not materialize. There was no round-up or internment of Muslim-Americans; the targets of government actions were noncitizens, principally unauthorized immigrants. There also was no legislative effort in Congress to place a moratorium on legal immigration, or on immigration from certain countries.”

Migration Policy institute

<http://www.migrationpolicy.org/article/post-911-policies-dramatically-alter-us-immigration-landscape>



MYTH 1. "THE WAR ON TERROR"




Illegal Immigration and the Transformation of America
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=h6ipKR-mJ7w>

Under the Obama Administration, and previous administrations, the 'open-door' policy is to invite illegal immigration and then make a plea for a "humanitarian response"-- that is, temporary or permanent amnesty. Projected cost for caring for this new flood of Immigrants?—over \$2 Billion next year.

Open Borders = No War on Terror

Hence, there was a different reason for declaring "War on Terror"

Is there a war on the American taxpayer? The war in Iraq, part of the supposed war on terror cost the US taxpayers nearly a \$trillion. Who benefited? Are there less "terrorists" because of it?



<http://waronirrationalfear.com/facts>

* Sources: Mueller and Stewart, *Balancing the Risks, Benefits, and Costs of Homeland Security*, Homeland Security Affairs, Global Terrorism Database, 2009 federal budget for the National Cancer Institute, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.



MYTH 2. EVOLUTION IS PROVEN SCIENCE!

It is Taught as **FACT** even Though **NOTHING** In Current Biology, Physics or Cosmology Indicates or Necessitates an **EVOLUTIONARY PROCESS.**

Paul Lemoine, Director of the National Museum of Natural History. In the Encyclopedie Francaise :

"... Evolution is a kind of dogma which its own priests no longer believe, but which they uphold for the people. It is necessary to have the courage to state this if only so that men of a future generation may orient their research into a different direction."

The Point is: "The goal should be to achieve an open philosophy of science that permits consideration of any explanations toward which the evidence may be pointing . . . visibly making evolutionary naturalism the subject of critical investigation based on evidence, rather than allowing it to rule by default as the unquestioned philosophical position to which science must by definition adhere. Humanists have taken science and academia hostage to their philosophy."

But as Phillip E .Johnson observed: "There is an important difference between going to the empirical evidence to test a doubtful theory against some plausible alternative, and going to the evidence to look for confirmation of the only theory that one is willing to tolerate."

As Johnson said in the second edition of *Darwin on Trial*: "Darwinists tell us that . . . natural mechanisms like mutation and selection were adequate to perform the job of creation. I want to know whether that claim is true, not just whether it is the best naturalistic speculation available. . ."

According to Johnson: Scientific evidence, when evaluated without an overwhelming bias toward materialism, does not support the Darwinian creation story ... the evidence actually supports the supposedly discredited view that an intelligent designer outside of nature had to be involved in biological creation.


<http://www.epsociety.org/library/articles.asp?pid=66&ap=3>

<http://www.epsociety.org/library/articles.asp?pid=66&mode=footnotes#29>


"The evolutionary theory is at best, incomplete without including a starting point. "The Big Bang" straw man is a better argument for intelligent creation. While new developments in science pointing more and more toward intelligence outside of our own, those committed to a materialistic universe, double down on increasingly embarrassing pseudo-science."



MYTH 2. EVOLUTION IS PROVEN SCIENCE!




TRANSITION BETWEEN FISH AND LAND VERTEBRATES



The Evolution “evidence” is either conjecture or artwork presented as implied reality.

There is no “Fossil Record” of these or any transition from ‘kind to kind’



Conjecture Presented as FACT

"Lucy," a 3.2-million-year-old human ancestor, is seen in an artist's rendering." Photograph by Tim Boyle, Getty Images

With a little investigation, you will discover that the evolutionist’s “vestigial remnants of a species’ ancestors” have been shown to have a current function and are not “vestigial” at all.

**THE FIVE
BIGGEST MYTHS YOU
THOUGHT WERE TRUE**

IS THE WORLD A WORK OF FICTION?



MYTH 2. EVOLUTION IS PROVEN SCIENCE!

GEOLOGIC TIME SCALE

ERA	PERIOD	EPOCH	SUCCESSION OF LIFE	INDEX FOSSILS
CENOZOIC Recent Life	QUATERNARY 0-1 Million Years Rise of Man	Recent Pleistocene		PECTEN NEPTUNEA
	TERTIARY 62 Million Years Rise of Mammals	Pliocene Miocene Oligocene Eocene Paleocene		CALYPTROPHORUS VENERICARDIA
MESOZOIC Middle Life	CRETACEOUS 72 Million Years Modern Seed Bearing Plants, Dinosaurs			SCAPHITES INOCERANUS
	JURASSIC 40 Million Years First Birds			NERITINA PERISPHINCTES
	TRIASSIC 49 Million Years Cycads, First Dinosaur			TROPHITES MONOTIS
PALEOZOIC Ancient Life	PERMIAN 69 Million Years First Reptiles			LEPTODUS PARAPUSULINA
	Carboniferous	PENNSYLVANIAN 30 Million Years First Insects		DICTYOCLOSTUS
		MISSISSIPPIAN 35 Million Years Many Crinoids		CACTOOCRINUS PROLECANITES
	DEVONIAN 60 Million Years First Fish, First Reptiles, Cartilage Fish		MUCROSPIRIFER	
	SILURIAN 20 Million Years Earliest Land Animals		HEXAMOCERAS CRYSTIPHYLLUM	
	ORDOVICIAN 75 Million Years Early Bony Fish		BATHYURUS (Trilobite) TETRAGRAPTUS	
	CAMBRIAN 100 Million Years Invertebrate animals, Brachiopods, Trilobites		BILLINGSSELLA PARAPODIDES (Trilobite)	
	PRECAMBRIAN Very few fossils present (bacteria-algae-pollen?)			

From this it is clear that Evolution remains, after 150 years, still a simplistic, improvable theory, but is taught as **FACT**.

“The layers of rock can be dated by which fossils are found in them.”
 “Scientists have determined the relative timing of appearance and disappearance of many kinds of organisms from the location of their fossils in sedimentary rock layers.”

Glenco Biology McGraw-Hill 1994, p. 306-307.

“If the geologic column existed in one location it could be 100 miles thick.”
 (Biology p. 385, A Beka Books)

“The intelligent layman has long suspected circular reasoning in the use of rocks to date fossils and fossils to date rocks.” J.E. O'Rourke,
 American Journal of Science, 1976, 276:51

<http://sepetjian.wordpress.com/2011/10/11/the-geologic-column-invented-to-%E2%80%9Cfree-the-science-from-moses%E2%80%9D/>

The Geologic Column: Does It Exist?

by John Woodmorappe

First published in *Creation Ex Nihilo Technical Journal* 13(2):77–82, 1999 All Rights Reserved.

From this article and hundreds of others discussing and arguing both sides of the “Does the Geologic Column actually exist” debate, it is at least clear that the question is far from settled (all ten layers of the geologic column have been found in less than 1% of areas where it is possible to see them and even then in much less thickness than predicted.)

<http://www.trueorigin.org/geocolumn.asp>



Fundamental Experiments in Stratification

from Ian Xytor 4 years ago [NOT YET RATED](#)
 How do we know how rocks are formed? Lab experiments are the way to confirm our hypothesis on rock genesis. Is it a question of

**A better explanation for stratification—
 Based on real SCIENCE!**

<http://vimeo.com/8779461>



IS THE WORLD A WORK OF FICTION?



MYTH 2. EVOLUTION IS PROVEN SCIENCE!

Micro-evolution vs Macro-evolution The evolutionist point of view.

http://atheism.about.com/od/evolutionexplained/a/micro_macro.htm

There are a few problems with these terms, especially in the manner that creationists use them. The first is quite simply that when scientists do use the terms microevolution and macroevolution, they don't use them in the same way as creationists.

The terms were first used in 1927 by the Russian entomologist Iurii Filipchenko in his book on evolution *Variabilität und Variation*. However, they remain in relatively limited use today. You can find them in some texts, including biology texts, but in general most biologists simply don't pay attention to them. Why? Because for biologists, there is no relevant difference between microevolution and macroevolution. Both happen in the same way and for the same reasons, so there is no real reason to differentiate them. When biologists do use different terms, it is simply for descriptive reasons.

Microevolution is used to refer to changes in the gene pool of a population over time which result in relatively small changes to the organisms in the population — changes which would not result in the newer organisms being considered as different species. Examples of such micro-evolutionary changes would include a change in a species' coloring or size.

Macroevolution, in contrast, is used to refer to changes in organisms which are significant enough that, over time, the newer organisms would be considered an entirely new species. In other words, the new organisms would be unable to mate with their ancestors, assuming we were able to bring them together.

Micro-evolution vs Macro-evolution The non-evolutionist point of view.

<http://www.discovercreation.org/newsletters/Macroevolutionvs.Microevolution.htm>

Evolutionists blur the important distinction between micro and macroevolution. They work hard to make it seem like the two types of evolution are a continuous process, when really they are not...The key lies in understanding these processes at the molecular genetic (genotypic) level, as well as at the higher (phenotypic) level of tissues and organs. When we do, we can see clearly why microevolution happens all the time, whereas the kind of macroevolution theorized by Darwin never happened and never could. (In fact, some creationists are recommending that we try to get away from using terms like micro and macroevolution, and use terms like "variation" versus "evolution".)

The important thing to remember about microevolution is that it always involves recombination or loss of existing genes. It never creates totally new genes from scratch. Microevolution makes variations within already existing kinds of creatures, not wholly new kinds. Creationists have no problem with microevolution.

Macroevolution, on the other hand, would require really big structural (phenotypic) changes in organisms. Genetically, it would require the creation of massive new arrays of information-packed genes from nothing but molecular gibberish.

IF these terms represent the same process, what environmental impact would cause a sea creature to become a land animal, over millions of years, when thousands of other sea creatures stayed in the sea?



MYTH 2. EVOLUTION IS PROVEN SCIENCE!

You can also learn a lot from wading through the Comments on Blogs: Response by an ID proponent to accusations from an evolutionist.

<http://whyevolutionistrue.wordpress.com/2011/11/21/david-berlinski-makes-an-ass-of-himself-defending-intelligent-design/>

Posted November 28, 2011 at 1:26 pm | [Permalink](#)

Tulse,

You misunderstood what I meant, when I said “your so-called science.” I do not denigrate science. I embrace it. However, what Darwinists falsely claim is proven by science is actually not founded on scientific evidence, so much as a philosophical preference: that of materialism. Anything that does not fit neatly into a materialistic framework is automatically rejected. In other words, you so-called science is more aptly named philosophy. By contrast, I would not say that the fruit of a computer scientist’s labor is based on philosophy.

I will admit that there is some scientific evidence in favor of Darwinism. However, it is far from proof. If Darwinists were being honest, they would also have to admit that there is substantial evidence in favor of design. Only then, can an honest exchange of ideas occur. But no. There appears to be far more interest on the materialist side of the debate to control the bounds of what is considered debatable, rather than seek the truth or even to persuade

But instead of arguing about the irreducible complexity of eyes and flagellum, let us go back even further. Origins. Darwin called his book “Origin Of The Species” but we realize now the basis of life is DNA. Natural Selection only works when there is something to select. Perhaps the greatest example of intelligent design is DNA. There are no compelling explanations from the field of evolution to explain the origin of information encoded the first DNA molecule.

“If creationism could somehow be proven to be true, it would still be merely a statement and not a theory since it lacks a mechanism, makes no predictions, etc”

Do I understand you to be saying that if X is proven to be true, and X lacks a mechanism and makes no predictions, then X should be rejected? We should prefer a scientific theory over a proven truth?

I am going to give you the benefit of the doubt and let you modify your statement if you would like. As written, it surely isn’t very sensible. By the way, labeling ID proponents as “creationists” misrepresents what ID proponents believe. It’s either deceitful or ignorant. Personally, I prefer “IDiots”. At least “IDiots” accurately reflects the level of discourse and engagement to be expected from your average Darwinist.

Chris the Simpleton

Posted November 28, 2011 at 9:55 pm | [Permalink](#)

reply to ichthyic:

So if I understand you correctly, ID is wrong because it doesn’t explain everything about the designer, and how he/she/it interacts with the Universe. This is flawed reasoning. ID simply suggests that life was designed by an intelligent designer. It says this and nothing more, because this is all that can be gleaned from the scientific evidence. To suggest that ID is wrong because I can’t provide a complete description of the designer is just plain stupid.

Cosmologists do not know what caused the big bang. Does this mean it didn’t happen? Wouldn’t even a darwinist have to admit an incomplete understanding of how life evolved? And yet we don’t reject the theory on that basis.

By the way, which seems more magical to you: that the appearance of design exhibited by all living creatures is the result of actual design by a powerful creator, or that every living thing arose by random chance? It seems we both believe in magic, but differ as to whether there is a magician.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kitzmiller_v._Dover_Area_School_District

Case brought to include a statement in public schools as to the possibility of Intelligent Design. This was ruled as being unconstitutional. You decide.



IS THE WORLD A WORK OF FICTION?



MYTH 2. EVOLUTION IS PROVEN SCIENCE!

“FACTS” from a typical authority on evolution.

Understanding Evolution
your one-stop source for information on evolution

EVOLUTION 101 | TEACHING MATERIALS | RESOURCE LIBRARY

glossary | home | Google Custom Search GO

en Español | print

Introduction
page 2 of 2
< previous | next >

“Through the process of descent with modification, the common ancestor of life on Earth gave rise to the fantastic diversity **that we see documented in the fossil record** and around us today. Evolution means that we're all distant cousins: humans and oak trees, hummingbirds and whales.” [Our emphasis]

Settled Science?

Just where is the documentation in the fossil record?
(It may be in a lockbox in Richard Dawkins' basement)
If we all evolved through “survival of the fittest,” how did some of “us” evolve into hummingbirds and some into whales?
How and why did the first plant to become an animal, do it?
When and how did Male/Female come about?
BTW the “common ancestor of life on Earth,” was primordial goo.
Where did it come from?

Evolutionists will say, “just because none of this can be proven doesn't mean it might not be true!

You Might say, “OK then, why are you calling it 'science fact' if it can't be proven?”

For Evolution


http://evolution.berkeley.edu/evolibrary/article/0_0_0/evo_02

Evolution, really?

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1CwL7xXUriE>



MYTH 2. EVOLUTION IS PROVEN SCIENCE!



“Darwinists have created a new set of Jim Crow laws. ID and creationist scientists are segregated from jobs and grants.

Censorship. Should it happen in the 21st Century? Wrong question. The question SHOULD be, how long has it been happening? Because censorship has been going on for several decades. I can prove it.

Take the National Center for Science Education (NCSE.) The motto as appearing on the Google page for the organization reads as follows:

‘National organization devoted to defending the teaching of evolution in public schools, and keeping creationism out.’

Defending Evolution?! Science is about defending an unproven hypothesis? Now, why do you suppose we have an organization that must do this? Stop and think, do we have sites that do the following?
 Defending The Atomic Numbering System?
 Defending Geometry?
 Defending Gravity?
 Defending E=Mc2?
 Defending Thermodynamics?

<http://radaractive.blogspot.com/2010/10/darwinists-have-created-new-set-of-jim.html>

The illustration to the left (from Evolution.Berkley.com) teaches us that “Science” equates: 1. Change over short time periods to change over long periods and, 2. The erosion of mountains to the “evolution” of a plant or an amoeba into a bee or butterfly. (The study of the metamorphosis of a caterpillar into a butterfly defies the theory of evolution by itself.) <http://www.amazon.com/Metamorphosis-The-Beauty-Design-Butterflies/dp/B0052P61WO>

Teaching children that erosion can be compared to a cell magically becoming, by chance and itself, a butterfly, is a criminal misuse of Billions of education funding.


**THE FIVE
BIGGEST MYTHS YOU
THOUGHT WERE TRUE**

IS THE WORLD A WORK OF FICTION?



MYTH
3. "GLOBAL WARMING" IS CAUSED BY "FOSSIL FUEL"

TWO MYTHS IN ONE!



Both of these theories, 1, that the earth is warming due to burning of CO2 and 2, that the petroleum and natural gas emitting the CO2 are “fossil fuels” resulting from decay of dinosaurs and plant material under high pressure over millions of years, are highly questionable.

FIRST—GLOBAL WARMING

Harold Lewis, Emeritus Professor of Physics at the University of California, Santa Barbara, sent the following letter to Curtis G. Callan Jr, Princeton University, President of the American Physical Society. The letter speaks for itself, laying out the problem of corruption of big science:

“...It is of course, the global warming scam, with the (literally) trillions of dollars driving it, that has corrupted so many scientists, and has carried APS before it like a rogue wave. It is the greatest and most successful pseudoscientific fraud I have seen in my long life as a physicist. Anyone who has the faintest doubt that this is so should force himself to read the ClimateGate documents, which lay it bare. (Montford's book organizes the facts very well.) I don't believe that any real physicist, nay scientist, can read that stuff without revulsion. I would almost make that revulsion a definition of the word scientist.”

See Link for full letter:

<http://www.thegwpc.org/hal-lewis-my-resignation-from-the-american-physical-society/>




NEWSFLASH!!

MYTH 3. “GLOBAL WARMING” IS CAUSED BY “FOSSIL FUEL”

Ah, but you say, “97% of all scientists accept Man-made Global Warming as Fact!”

We have all heard this:



97% of Scientists who disagree with AGW alarmists do not dare to risk their funding/jobs by writing per-reviewed papers expressing their skepticism!! (just my opinion!)

Scientists need to back up their opinions with research and data that survive the peer-review process. [A Skeptical Science peer-reviewed survey](#) of all (over 12,000) peer-reviewed abstracts on the subject 'global climate change' and 'global warming' published between 1991 and 2011 ([Cook et al. 2013](#)) found that over 97% of the papers taking a position on the subject agreed with the consensus position that humans are causing global warming. In a second phase of the project, the scientist authors were emailed and rated over 2,000 of their own papers. Once again, over 97% of the papers taking a position on the cause of global warming agreed that humans are causing it.

Based on our abstract ratings, we found that just over 4,000 papers expressed a position on the cause of global warming, 97.1% of which endorsed human-caused global warming. In the self-ratings, nearly 1,400 papers were rated as taking a position, 97.2% of which endorsed human-caused global warming. We found that about two-thirds of papers didn't express a position on the subject in the abstract, which confirms that we were conservative in our initial abstract ratings. This result isn't surprising for two reasons: 1) most journals have strict word limits for their abstracts, and 2) frankly, every scientist doing climate research knows humans are causing global warming. There's no longer a need to state something so obvious.

This survey was apparently done to counter the ridicule of the previous survey from 2009 by the American Geophysical Union—next page.

MYTH 3. "GLOBAL WARMING" IS CAUSED BY "FOSSIL FUEL"

98% of 77 scientists = 98% of ALL scientists?

So says this 2009 survey quoted incessantly by the media.

To maximize the response rate, the survey was designed to take less than 2 minutes to complete, and it was administered by a professional online survey site (www.questionpro.com) that allowed one-time participation. I think it is hilarious that so few people who cite this survey as "proof" of consensus actually look into the survey and the puny response numbers involved. So, I decided to graph the data to give some much needed perspective. Apparently, the majority of AGU members polled didn't think this poll on climate change consensus was worth returning. [Anthony Watts](#)

<http://www.forbes.com/sites/larrybell/2013/12/03/yes-the-overheated-climate-crisis-debate-is-settled-so-chill-out-and-relax/>

American Geophysical Union (AGU) survey consisting of an intentionally brief two-minute, two question online survey sent to 10,257 earth scientists by two researchers at the University of Illinois. Of the about 3,000 who responded, 82% answered "yes" to the second question, which like the first, most people I know would also have agreed with. Then of those, only a small subset, just 77 who had been successful in getting more than half of their papers recently accepted by peer-reviewed climate science journals, were considered in their survey statistic. That "98% all scientists" referred to a laughably puny number of 75 of those 77 who answered "yes".


That anything-but-scientific survey asked two questions. The first: "When compared with pre-1800s levels, do you think that mean global temperatures have generally risen, fallen, or remained relatively constant?" Few would be expected to dispute this...the planet began thawing out of the "Little Ice Age" in the middle 19th century, predating the Industrial Revolution. (That was the coldest period since the last real Ice Age ended roughly 10,000 years ago.)

The second question asked: "Do you think human activity is a significant contributing factor in changing mean global temperatures?" So what constitutes "significant"? Does "changing" include both cooling and warming... and for both "better" and "worse"? And which contributions...does this include land use changes, such as agriculture and deforestation?

Larry Bell

The point as always: There is no "consensus."

Copyright © Jim Gleeson 2014



IS THE WORLD A WORK OF FICTION?

THE FIVE
BIGGEST MYTHS YOU
THOUGHT WERE TRUE



MYTH 3. "GLOBAL WARMING"

Seeing is NOT Believing

How Global Warming Threatens Antarctic Animals

By Victoria Bekiempis
Filed: 6/16/14 at 12:00 PM

Adelie penguins stand atop ice near the French station at Dumont d'Urville. Pauline Askin/Reuters

Filed Under: Tech & Science, Antarctica, Global Warming, Climate Change

What Newsweek Reports


"...For at least the last half century, however, global warming has led to a dramatic decline in this winter ice."

Climate Alarmists Making It Up As They Go Along

Date: 23/06/14

Paul Homewood, Not A Lot Of People Know That

<http://www.thegwpf.org/climate-alarmists-making-it-up-as-they-go-along/>



"As the melt season is underway in the Arctic, freeze up is in progress in the Antarctic. **Sea ice extent for May averaged 12.03 million square kilometers (4.64 million square miles). This is 1.24 million square kilometers (478,800 square miles) above the 1981 to 2010 average for the month. Antarctic sea ice for May 2014 currently ranks as the highest May extent in the satellite record.**"

REALITY


http://nsidc.org/cgi-bin/bist/bist.pl?config=seaiice_extent_trends



MYTH 3. "GLOBAL WARMING"

Well, isn't that sneaky!

Right after the year 2000, NASA and NOAA dramatically altered US climate history, making the past much colder and the present much warmer. The animation below shows how NASA cooled 1934 and warmed 1998, to make 1998 the hottest year in US history instead of 1934. This alteration turned a long term cooling trend since 1930 into a warming trend.



<https://stevengoddard.wordpress.com/2014/06/23/noaanasa-dramatically-altered-us-temperatures-after-the-year-2000/>


This is especially noticeable on the US temperature records. Before 2000, it was generally accepted - even by climate activists like NASA's James Hansen - that the hottest decade in the US was the 1930s.

Why would government agencies alter the records and obfuscate the truth on so many issues?



MYTH 3. OIL IS A “FOSSIL FUEL?”

Specific examples to support the abiotic theory have been cited over the years. Each example has been dismissed by the Western establishment as specious while it has been hailed by proponents elsewhere as convincing. This is always so when a deeply entrenched belief and massive money flows encounter a subversive idea that profoundly threatens the prevailing order. The debate is becoming increasingly shrill as the two diametrically opposed views of Peak Oil and Abiogenic (Superabundant) Oil collide in a clash not only of science but, far more importantly, of money and ideology.



If Oil and Gas are the Remains of Dinosaurs and Plants,

Where Did All This Come From?

Tectonic shift, you say?

As Deep as 40 Miles Down!

Many Scientists say: NOT SO!

In the 20th century the Russian- Ukrainian School of geology emerged in the Soviet Union to vigorously formulate the modern theory of abiotic or abiogenic oil and gas. In the West, the most eloquent and determined proponent was the famous astronomer Thomas Gold. After his death, Jack Kenney of Gas Resources Corporation has become the leading Western exponent.

A research team at the Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden, led by Vladimir Kutherov, demonstrated that animal and plant fossils are not necessary for producing oil and natural gas. The team simulated the thermal and pressure processes that occur in the inner layers of the earth to generate hydrocarbons, the chief component of oil and natural gas. The team also noted that oil and gas has been found 7 miles below ground in Texas and fossil oil and gas could not, via, gravity have seeped down to such depths.

The Point?

The Theory that Oil is a “Fossil Fuel” is Taught as Fact.

The prevailing abiotic theory is that the full complement of hydrocarbons found in oil and gas are generated in the mantle (40 to 90 miles below the surface of the earth) by non-biological processes. These hydrocarbons then migrate out of the mantle into the crust where they escape or are trapped by impermeable strata picking up traces of biological matter along the way.

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/raymond-j-learsy/oils-dirty-big-secret-as_b_118380.html



MYTH 4. GALILEO PROVED THE EARTH REVOLVES AROUND THE SUN.


(Anything Else is Idiocy, Right?)

No! He and Keppler Proved
it was POSSIBLE That the Earth Revolves Around the Sun.

Einstein, Hawking and Hubble Admit HelioCentrism or
GeoCentrism; it's a Philosophical Decision!


HELIOCENTRIC

Sun is the center. The earth has an orbit that rotates around the sun.



GEOCENTRIC

Earth is the center. The sun has an orbit that rotates around the earth.



“The struggle, so violent in the early days of science, between the views of Ptolemy and Copernicus would then be quite meaningless. Either coordinate system could be used with equal justification. The two sentences, ‘the sun is at rest and the earth moves,’ or ‘the sun moves and the earth is at rest,’ would simply mean two different conventions concerning two different coordinate systems”.

Einstein and Infeld, *The Evolution of Physics*, 1938.

“So which is real, the Ptolemaic or the Copernican system? Although it is not uncommon for people to say that Copernicus proved Ptolemy wrong, that is not true. As in the case of our normal view versus that of the goldfish, one can use either picture as a model of the universe, for our observations of the heavens can be explained by assuming either the earth or the sun to be at rest. Despite its role in philosophical debates over the nature of our universe, the real advantage of the Copernican system is simply that the equations of motion are much simpler in the frame of reference in which the sun is at rest.”


Stephen Hawking, *The Grand Design*, pages 41-42.

“We know that the difference between a heliocentric theory and a geocentric theory is one of relative motion only, and that such a difference is of no physical significance.”

Sir Fred Hoyle, *Astronomy and Cosmology*, 1975, p 416



MYTH 4. GALILEO PROVED THE EARTH REVOLVES AROUND THE SUN.



People need to be aware that there is a range of models that could explain the observations,” Ellis argues. “For instance, I can construct you a spherically symmetrical universe with Earth at its center, and you cannot disprove it based on observations.” Ellis has published a paper on this. “You can only exclude it on philosophical grounds. In my view there is absolutely nothing wrong in that. **What I want to bring into the open is the fact that we are using philosophical criteria in choosing our models. A lot of cosmology tries to hide that.**”

George Ellis, a famous cosmologist, in *Scientific American*, “Thinking Globally, Acting Universally”, October 1995

“Science” is avoiding anything that points in the direction of an intelligent creator “at all costs.” Is that really science?



“...Such a condition would imply that we occupy a **unique** position in the universe, analogous, in a sense, to the ancient conception of a central Earth...This hypothesis cannot be disproved, but it is unwelcome and would only be accepted as a last resort in order to save the phenomena. Therefore **we disregard this possibility**.... the unwelcome position of a favored location must be avoided at all costs.... such a favored position is intolerable...Therefore, in order to restore homogeneity, and to escape the horror of a unique position...must be compensated by spatial curvature. There seems to be no other escape.”

Edwin Hubble, *The Observational Approach to Cosmology*



MYTH 4. GALILEO PROVED THE EARTH REVOLVES AROUND THE SUN.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lcN5h8zEacM>

The Sagnac (sahn-yawk) experiment in 1913 found absolute motion--not relative motion. " . . . Sagnac's experiment proved the existence of the aether, thus demolishing Einstein's Theory of Relativity. Complaints by two experienced physicists that when they were at university, they were never informed about this important experiment. When other experiments - Airy's Failure, Michelson-Gale's experiment (also not taught at universities!) and of course the famous Michelson-Morley experiment- a completely different picture of the cosmos emerges - with the Earth at the centre of the universe."

"They" somewhat sneakily pre-program computers for GPS with an earth-centered, earth-fixed coordinate system to make them navigable using a fudge factor called the "Lorentz transformation."

"Special Relativity" was concocted by Einstein in 1905 to answer the disturbing results of the Michelson-Morley experiment of 1887 which (though intended to) could NOT show the rotation of the earth through a static ether. But some flaws showed up in Special Relativity because it didn't deal with gravity (uniform motion--same speed all the time or standing still is what it mainly dealt with.) So "General Relativity" had to add gravity and inertial forces . Where do they come from? GR says time/space are warped by matter. But note . . . this is still just theory--not proven. Bending light waves around the sun does NOT prove it. Nor perihelion of Mercury. There are no proofs.

So, ironically?, by adding General Relativity there is no difference between geocentrism vs. heliocentrism model because all is relative in GR. So you can have some inertial forces either way according to GR. GR says star field can travel any speed above the speed of light. Greater inertial forces ie., centrifugal can go faster than light can travel. Special Relativity says light speed is constant. GR says "no"--any speeds are possible. Anyway, the star field can go faster than light in either GR or no GR. Stars are embedded in ether.

Malcom Bowden.



MYTH 4. GALILEO PROVED THE EARTH REVOLVES AROUND THE SUN.

TAKE NOTE! When you investigate ideas and theories that favor an intelligence outside our own, be prepared for an avalanche of negativity--mostly derogatory comments and personal attack—and very little honest discussion. This is because many people are PHILOSOPHICALLY locked to their worldview and the world view of many is that there is no God and “I care more about that than I do about real science.”

The chief argument of evolutionists is that anything involving an outside intelligence cannot be considered as part of a ‘scientific’ debate! However, if an outside intelligence created life on Earth, then that intelligence would be the first and last word on all the ‘science’ that exists.

See what passes for debate when someone dares to propose an idea that involves outside intelligence!

<http://www.geocentrismdebunked.org/>

<http://sensuouscurmudgeon.wordpress.com/2013/11/07/geocentric-universe-debunked-again/>

<http://www.patheos.com/blogs/markshea/2013/12/david-palm-takes-on-the-thankless-task-of-debunking-geocentrism.html>

There is a war for your mind going on and truth is the first casualty of war. It is not likely that man will prove the existence of “God” but it is already being proven that we could not have gotten here without an outside intelligence which got life started and provided the DNA information which results in the incredible diversity of kingdoms and species on the Earth.

“Scientists devise theories like Gravity, Big Bang and Evolution... and use circular logic to prove them. Example: The Michelson-Morley experiment measured the speed of light in different directions and came up with the same value. Earth should have been assumed to be fixed in position “Geocentric”. When “Red Shift” was observed, Hubble should have assumed Earth was in a Central or at least Unique position among them. When Leakey and Chardin were caught fitting Ape Skulls to Human Jaws, Darwin’s Theory should have been tossed out. All these Lies are still here and getting worse because Science is regarded as an objective search for truth rather than as Paul described it in 1 Tim 6:20 Vain Babblings in opposition to Scripture.”

Howard Ratliff


www.thereisnothingnew.com

“The heliocentric theory, by putting the sun at the center of the universe ... made man appear to be just one of a possible host of wanderers drifting through a cold sky. It seemed less likely that he was born to live gloriously and to attain paradise upon his death. Less likely, too, was it that he was the object of God’s ministrations.”

Morris Kline



MYTH 4. GALILEO PROVED THE EARTH REVOLVES AROUND THE SUN.



Modern “science” says that we got here by chance and by ourselves and to believe there is outside intelligence would be IMMODEST...
(How’s that for LOGIC and the Scientific Method?)

“There is no place for God in theories on the creation of the Universe...
the Big Bang was an inevitable consequence of the laws of physics.”
Stephen Hawking

What do the laws of Physics say about ANYTHING coming from NOTHING, much less LIFE coming from nothing?

“ You cannot understand the glories of the universe without believing there is some Supreme Power behind it.”
Stephen Hawking

Yes, that would be remarkable, wouldn't it.
Why don't we... I don't know...
INVESTIGATE IT!?



4. GALILEO PROVED THE EARTH REVOLVES AROUND THE SUN.

Establishment science pronounces philosophical beliefs as facts every day, yet unabashedly pronounces contrary theories as “superstition and pseudoscience.”

“We inhabit a universe where atoms are made in the centers of stars; where each second a thousand suns are born; where life is sparked by sunlight and lightning in the airs and waters of youthful planets; where the raw material for biological evolution is sometimes made by the explosion of a star halfway across the Milky Way; where a thing as beautiful as a galaxy is formed a hundred billion times - a Cosmos of quasars and quarks, snowflakes and fireflies, where there may be black holes and other universe and extraterrestrial civilizations whose radio messages are at this moment reaching the Earth.

How pallid by comparison are the pretensions of superstition and pseudoscience; how important it is for us to pursue and understand science, that characteristically human endeavor.”

Carl Sagan, *Cosmos*

Hypocrisy or Double-talk?

Famed Scientist, Carl Sagan waxed on in fanciful terms of theorized cosmic possibilities, where “the raw material for biological evolution is sometimes made by the explosion of a star halfway across the Milky Way...”

Then he calls anything else, “Superstition and pseudoscience.”





MYTH 4. GALILEO PROVED THE EARTH REVOLVES AROUND THE SUN.

The Science behind the possibility that the Earth really could be the center of the solar system is alive and well.



<http://galileowaswrong.com/buy-the-book/>

The point is: Mainstream science will not consider an obvious threat to their closely held humanistic worldview. Neither type of “Centrism” can be proven, but only one is acceptable for consideration to our philosophically based science. They resort to name-calling and demonizing. Can they BOTH be right?

[Http://www.geocentrism.com](http://www.geocentrism.com)

“All who read *Galileo Was Wrong* will be pleasantly surprised at what occurs when a researcher is open to the possibility of geocentrism. Things become more straight forward and simple...There is no need to ignore the fact that most observations place us in the center and not moving, then try to create more complex scientific theories to reconcile the observations with theory, i.e., making matter shrink, time contract, space warp, etc.”

A Documentary, based on the “strong anthropic principle” opens in October. This principle says that everything we discover about the earth and its place in the universe points to the earth and its place being designed specifically for human life.



Great example of a supposed “critique” of The Principle and its theme of geocentrism can be found here:

http://www.slate.com/blogs/bad_astronomy/2014/04/08/the_principle_a_documentary_about_geocentrism.html

This is supposed to be a science oriented site, but as you can see, there is a lot of personal insults and character assassination and no actual discussion of the scientific principles involved. This is typical of how “mainstream science” and its adherents deal with scientific ideas that might untie the philosophical Gordian Knot around its funding.



MYTH 5. WE LIVE IN A PHYSICAL WORLD!


You are Born a Physical Body into a Physical World and Then You Die. Right?

NO! Everything is Energy!

Science says Atoms Have No Mass.

...What is Seen Was Not Made of Things That are Visible

Hebrews 11-3



Big News!

Mainstream science doesn't recognize the spiritual dimension of life. But our worldview is catching up with the facts. New discoveries in science are rapidly replacing the worn out paradigm that the world can be explained with physics with one that includes life and consciousness and can address some of the core questions asked in every religion.

New experiments suggest that our senses create the perception of physical reality from what is essentially an energy field of consciousness. We assume that we play no role in the appearance and form of the world around us—yet evidence shows just the opposite!

The Point: Science is moving on from purely physical theories about the basis of creation and reality. This is not a “religious” movement or argument. It is science being science and going where the evidence leads. The evidence says life is more “biology” -life, than “Physics”-matter and motion. This is a revolution in science and could bring an end to out-dated and politicized sciences.

Seen much about this in the Mainstream media?



“The Ocean of intelligence at the Basis of Our Being is Aware of Its own Existence

MYTH 5. WE LIVE IN A PHYSICAL WORLD!

You are Born a Physical Body and Then You Die.



JOHN HAGELIN, PH.D

John Hagelin, Ph.D., is a renowned quantum physicist, science and public policy expert, educator, author, and leading proponent of peace.

Dr. Hagelin has conducted pioneering research at CERN (the European Center for Particle Physics) and at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center. His articles on electroweak unification, grand unification, supersymmetry and cosmology include some of the most cited references in the physical sciences.

“The Unified Field is Consciousness and Gives Rise to the emergent Universe”

<http://hagelin.org/about.html>

https://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=SanEAwIUxoY



ROBERT LANZA, M.D

Robert Lanza is an American Doctor of Medicine, scientist, Chief Scientific Officer of Advanced Cell Technology (ACT) and Adjunct Professor at the Institute for Regenerative Medicine, Wake Forest University School of Medicine, author of *Biocentrism* –How life and Consciousness are the keys to understanding the true nature of our universe.

[Did Einstein Set Science Back 100 Years?](#)

“Einstein believed he could build from one side of nature — the physical, without the other side — the living. But he was a physicist, and as such, missed what was outside his window.”

[Rethinking The Nature Of Life](#)

“Life is more than just the dance of atoms described in our science textbooks. We’re all ephemeral forms of an individuality greater than ourselves, eternal even when we die.”

https://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=uYyvtrDSEAQ

https://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=n0c6LeCVSVc

http://www.istpp.org/crime_prevention/voodoo_bookreview.html

This article is a great analysis of how the scientific “establishment” can block new developments in understanding our world. Dr. Hagelin is among the distinguished scientists subjected to unscrupulous, inaccurate and falsified reporting by a supposed highly credentialed physicist and lobbyist.



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OrcWntw9juM>



https://www.youtube.com/watch?list=PL3DF867AB39BDF6D1&feature=player_detailpage&v=M44n-amjWTA

Dr. John Hagelin explains his unified field theory which says that at the deepest sub-atomic level there is only the unified field of energy that connects everything. As in Robert Lanza’s theory of Biocentrism, Hagelin says that it is this non-physical consciousness which sustains life.

<http://www.hagelin.org>



MYTH 5. WE LIVE IN A PHYSICAL WORLD!

You are Born a Physical Body and Then You Die.

“Science, too, is beginning to grasp the non-linear nature of reality. Heisenberg, the Nobel physicist whose uncertainty principle transformed our understanding of the world, once commented: “Contemporary science, today more than at any previous time, has been forced by nature herself to pose again the question of the possibility of comprehending reality by mental processes.” Alas! The evidence has the weight of a boulder. Shall our vision forever flow around it? Experiment after experiment (*Nature* 459, 683, 2009) continues to show that entangled particles act as if there’s no space or time separating them, a result that’s intelligible only if we assume the mind transcends the existence of things in space and time.”


<http://www.robertlanzabiocentrism.com/rethinking-the-nature-of-life/>

“... the mind transcends space and time in that they’re its tools, and not the other way around. This conception of reality dissolves away human individuality. “Sometimes,” said Thoreau, “as I drift idly on Walden Pond, I cease to live and begin to be.” The walls of space and time are illusory. We’re all ephemeral forms of an individuality greater than ourselves, eternal even when we die. This is the indispensable prelude to immortality, and its highest form; we’re forced to recall the words of the English poet John Donne, “Never send to know for whom the bell tolls; it tolls for thee,” and to understand them in a more scientific way.” Robert Lanza, M.D.

also see:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GDZXz391Mj0>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8-r3pW-G5BI>





IS THE WORLD A WORK OF FICTION?



I hope the point of this book is clear. Much of what is promoted and taught as fact has not been proven.

Anything promoted as FACT should be scrutinized and balanced with the opposite belief.

Therefore, there is one more important myth—the MOST IMPORTANT ONE:

MYTH

6. “I CAN KNOW THE TRUTH OF THIS WORLD”

The only absolute TRUTH is that which is true for EVERYONE!


Since the universe is energy and is sustained by our consciousness and observation, worldly “truth” is RELATIVE and must be UNLEARNED to get to real TRUTH.

As you develop your research skills, improve your cognitive thinking and increase your understanding of the world around you, remember this: As the relative motion of the Sun and the Earth cannot be proven one way or the other, therefore either being the center of the solar system, is true for those who believe either reality. It is the same for all major issues. If we are all energy and create the reality we perceive with our senses, as science suggests, when there are polarized, opposite positions, both are “true” to the respective sides and NEITHER is “absolute” truth.

Arguing for your side to be “right” is a losing proposition!

It is better to live and work toward a shared understanding of the importance of improving the world together, while you work toward your own happiness, and preparation for eternity.

Jim Gleeson 2014



<http://www.amberallen.com/product/books/the-fifth-agreement-trade-paperback/>

If we can understand what the human mind is and what it does, we can begin to separate reality from virtual reality, or pure perception, which is truth from symbology, which [could be called] art.

Self-mastery is all about awareness, and it begins with self-awareness. First to be aware of what is real, and then to be aware of what is virtual, which means what we believe about what is real.

With this awareness we know that we can change what is virtual by changing what we believe.

don Miguel Ruiz, *The Fifth Agreement*

"For it is written, I will destroy the wisdom of the wise and will bring to nothing the understanding of the intelligent."

1 Corinthians 1:19

THE FIVE BIGGEST MYTHS YOU THOUGHT WERE TRUE

IS THE WORLD A WORK OF FICTION?



5 THE FIVE BIGGEST MYTHS YOU THOUGHT WERE TRUE

10 More Myths You Can Practice on!

1. Fluoride is good for your health and teeth.
2. Chemtrails: A ridiculous conspiracy theory.
3. The Rapture and the millennial reign of Jesus are both in our future, according to the Bible.
4. Six million Jews were gassed by Hitler during WWII.
5. The Federal Reserve is federal and has reserves.
6. The U.S. is a Democracy.
The U.S. is a Constitutional Republic (today).
The "separation of church and state" is in the constitution.
7. Pearl Harbor was a surprise attack.
8. The Viet Nam war was caused by the sinking of a ship in the Gulf of Tonkin.
9. Psychiatry is a medical profession.
10. America secured its independence from Great Britain in the Revolutionary War.
11. Lee Harvey Oswald assassinated President John Kennedy.



IS THE WORLD A WORK OF FICTION?



How would you describe the difference in these two quotes?

**"...It is better to be divided by Truth than united in error;
It is better to tell Truth that hurts and then heals than to tell a lie;
It is better to be hated for telling the Truth than to be loved for telling a lie;
It is better to stand alone with Truth than to be wrong with the multitude..."**

Adrian Rogers

"Ye shall know the TRUTH, and the TRUTH shall make you free"

Jesus--John 8:32



The End

Start Here!

for a new understanding of your world

The world's oldest man talks!

"I may be old, it's not so bad. I have experienced a lot! Do you remember when the first land animals decided they wanted to fly? I do. They all started jumping off the tops of trees and flapping their legs. For a couple million years it was raining mammals! But, gradually the ones who could leap the farthest survived by reaching other trees. This changed the gene pool and...you know what? That's where kangaroos came from! Birds came much later. In fact, the first bird that could stay aloft for more than 12 seconds didn't evolve until 9,678,521,619 BC! He didn't get feathers 'til 7,290,432,111.

"I remember when a bunch of us decided we wanted to have sex! It was really exciting for a while, but we quickly realized we were all the same. I know what you're thinking! So what, why should that stop you? No, we wanted orgasms but we also wanted our species to survive. 'What did you do,' you ask? We chose up sides. All those who wanted to be girls had to sleep sitting up...on stalagmites...for a billion years. The ones who wanted to be the boys?...You don't want to know what I went through.


"But the most boring thing was the big fad that the fish started: Wanting to live on land! Crazy. I think it was four eons it took them to learn how to hold their breath long enough to wiggle onto the beach—just when their oxygen ran out, with their last gasp, they would wiggle back into the sea. 4560 generations later, their gills had turned into lungs! We had land fish! Boy, talk about a celebration! Unfortunately billions of fish drowned when they couldn't get out of the water fast enough!"

Evolution is a lot like the old belief that the world was FLAT. If that's all we hear about and we can't see anything different in front of us, we will believe some really crazy stuff!



THE BOOK THAT COULDN'T BE WRITTEN— UNTIL NOW!

Consciousness is becoming a focal point of Both Science and Religion. Perhaps it will be the link that brings them finally back together.
Jim Gleeson



SCIENCE, GOD and YOU THE ANCIENT THEORY of EVERYTHING

SCIENCE IS AT A CROSSROAD: Is Ours a Material World? OR
A World of Conscious Energy?
RELIGION IS AT A CROSSROAD: Is God an Old Man in Heaven OR
Is God The Infinite, Unified Field?

THERE IS ONLY ONE PROBLEM AND ONE SOLUTION

- ☞ "You have learned to be the world's YOU. The real spiritual YOU is underneath."
- ☞ "Space and time are secondary constructs that arise out of a deeper level of reality."
- ☞ "Jesus taught no theology or dogma. His teaching and his daily demonstrations, or healings, were entirely spiritual and metaphysical. They were not religious and they apply to EVERYONE on Earth."
- ☞ "The central lesson of quantum physics is clear: There are no independent objects, free from consciousness, sitting out there in some pre-existing 'public space.'"
- ☞ Less material accompanied by more understanding is the trend in virtually every scientific field...More and more understanding, and less and less matter, leads, of course, to complete understanding and no matter.



JIM GLEESON'S "NOVELS ABOUT THE TRUTH"

Available through Amazon or from www.sciencegodandyou.com

Jim Gleeson's novels capture the urgency of understanding this world and the next while his characters struggle to deal with the Corruption of those in control. His novels incorporate compelling stories with the realities of life in today's world and the possibility of a potential future one. **Judges Kings and Pirates** takes place in the present as Architect Gilbert Becket and his new love, Sophie, strive to resolve their own different world views while they and their friends come face to face with a world gone mad.

No One Knows— Matt Lackeur, is the ex Director of the CIA. He writes an allegorical novel narrated by himself as State Security Officer in a future, post-USA North America The expose creates an uproar in political, scientific and academic circles. Is it the "real" Matt Lacker or the one in the book that rationalizes the arrest of his friends for breaking the "32 rules" while he uses them in his plan to execute the one man who could save them. Will they find the Square Root of Love?

The Matter of Life— It's the present. Lacker faces the fallout from his explosive novel, now a movie. While he evades the attacks of those that would destroy him, he struggles to follow the mysterious "ladder" left him by the smiling dead man in Azerbaijan. The two opposing sides of his life become inextricably entwined and reach a conclusion both unexpected and deeply rewarding as Lacker faces the evil of his old life with the fearless bravado of someone unconcerned with death..

The Third Intention-- The unforgettable conclusion to the Matt Lacker Trilogy will be released in 2018. Lacker and friends attempt to solve the riddle of "Hokob's Ladder" and uncover

